ORBITAL PERIOD CHANGES OF THE ECLIPSING BINARIES *OO AQUILAE* AND *V471 TAURI*

Rovithis-Livaniou H.⁽¹⁾, *Tsantilas S.*⁽¹⁾, *Kalimeris A.*⁽¹⁾ & *Rovithis P.*⁽²⁾

(1) Section of Astrophysics, Astronomy & Mechanics, Dept. of Physics, Athens University, Panepistimiopolis, Zografos 157 84, Athens, Greece. *e-mail: elivan@cc.uoa.gr*

(2) Institute of Astronomy & Astrophysics, National Observatory of Athens, P.O. Box 20048, Athens 118 10, Greece. e-mail: <u>rovithis@astro.noa.gr</u>

Abstract

The orbital period changes of the systems **OO** Aql and V471 Tau are investigated. Long variation terms –decreasing for the first and increasing for the latter system– were found. While, the detected periodic terms might not be real for both systems.

Introduction

Continuing to study the orbital period behavior of close binaries, using the method we proposed ten years $ago^{[1]}$, we present here our results for the systems *OO Aql* and *V471 Tau*.

OO Aql is a rare contact binary that belongs to the A sub-class of the well-known W UMa-type group. It is a G5 V binary with an unusually high mass-ratio, (q = 0.843).

V471 Tau is an eclipsing binary consisting of a *white dwarf* (of T \approx 35000K and R \approx 7000Km), and a *cool K2V* star (of T \approx 4900K and R \approx 520000Km). Moreover, its membership to the Hyades cluster^[2], makes it very important to study various problems of stellar astrophysics.

2. Orbital Period Study of OO Aquilae

Using all times of minimum light of OO Aql, its (O-C) diagram was constructed based on the linear light elements^[3]:

 $Min I = 2438239.664 + 0.5067887^{\cdot} E$

Then, following the first continuous method^[1], we found that this diagram can be best described by a fifth order polynomial, (Fig. 1), the

coefficients of which are given at Table I. Then, the real orbital period changes of **OO** Aql —in terms of the P(E)- P_e , where P_e is the constant period of 0.5067887 *days* used to construct the (O-C) diagram of the system- and its rate of change were calculated and are presented in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively.

 Table I

 Coefficients of the 5th order polynomial used for the (O-C) diagram description of OO Aql

$c_0 = 0.0485$	c2 =-0.7174	$c_4 = 1.7712$
$c_1 = 0.1403$	$c_3 = 0.4151$	c5 =-1.4913
scale constant 5×10^4		

From Fig. 2, where the P(E)- P_e function is presented, a *long-term* variation of the order of: -1.43x10d/E, corresponding to -1.03x10d/y, or to -0.0088s/y was detected, (dashed straight line). Moreover, a periodicity of 55 years (or double) is obvious.





3. Orbital Period Study of V471 Tauri

From all times of minimum light of V471 Tau, its (O-C) diagram was constructed based on the linear light elements^[4]:

$Min I = 2440610.06413 + 0.52118334^{\cdot} E$

Repeating the same procedure as previously, it was found that the (O-C) diagram of *V471 Tau* can be best described by a sixth order polynomials, (Fig. 4), the coefficients of which are given at Table II. While,

Figs. 5 & 6 are similar to 2 & 3, presenting the real period changes and the rate of change but for *V471 Tau*.

From Fig. 5, a *long-term variation* of the order of: 4.03x10d/E, corresponding to 2.82x10d/y, or to 0.0024s/y was detected, (dashed straight line). Moreover, a periodicity of about 22.8 years was detected by applying discrete Fourier transform to the P(E)- P_e function of V471 Tau.

 Table II

 Coefficients of the 6th order polynomial used for the (O-C) diagram description of V471Aql

$c_0 = 0.0006$	$c_2 = 0.0025$	$c_4 = 0.6962$
$c_1 = 0.0061$	c3 =-0.2318	c5 =-0.7859
	$c_6 = 0.3136$	scale constant 1.7x10 ⁴





4. Discussion

The orbital period changes of both systems presented here, have been also studied by some other investigators^{[3],[2]}. Considering our and their results, we note that although the sets of observational data used -in both cases- were not the same, the detected periodic terms correspond to either the time interval covered by the analyzed observations, or to half of it. *So*, *they might be not real*. For this reason, we limit ourselves to present the period changes (and their rates of changes) only.

Moreover, for *OO Aql*, as is obvious from Fig. 2, there is not any period jump around 1963, as has been reported^[3]. And it is better to wait and see if its observed period variations are due to the presence of a third body, to magnetic activity cycles^[5], or if there is not any periodic term at all.

The same is true for *V471 Tau*, although if the periodicity is real and suppose that is due to the light-time effect, it yields to a very interesting result concerning the third body's mass: it is very small, a sub-solar mass like that of a *brawn dwarf*. But even so, we have to wait to get reliable results.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly financial supported by the Athens University (grant no. 70/4/3305).

References

[1] K a l i m e r i s A., Rovithis-Livaniou H. & Rovithis P. On the orbital period changes in contact binaries, A&A 282, 1994, 775-786.

[2] G u i n a n E.F., Ribas I. The best brown dwarf yet? A companion to the Hyades eclipsing binary V471 Tauri, ApJ 546, 2001, L43-L47.

[3] D e m i r c a n, O. & Gurol B. Light curves and period changes of OO Aquilae, A&ASS 115, 1996, 333-338.

[4] B o i s B., Lanning H. H., Mochnacki S.W. Spectroscopy of 471 Tau. I - Review of basic properties, AJ 96, 1988, 157-164.

[5] A p p l e g a t e, J. H. A mechanism for orbital period modulation in close binaries, ApJ 385, 1992, 621-629.